

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/069,285	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SUCK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Michael Szperka	<b>Art Unit</b> 1644	

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael Szperka.

(2) Csaba Henter.

**Status of Application:** after final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 6 August 2007

**Time:** 3 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11-14, 21, and 26-33

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to the changes detailed in the examiner's amendment to place the claims in condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)